

Table of Contents

List of Acronyms	8
Executive Summary	9
Table ES-1. Summary of Major Changes in the Estimated Chronic Risk Pattern from 2008 to 2012 at the Bell, Parachute and Rifle Monitoring Sites.....	13
1. Introduction	14
1.1 CDPHE Role	15
1.2 Purpose	15
1.3 Risk Assessment Approach	15
<i>1.1.1 Utility of Risk Assessments</i>	16
2 Exposure Assessment	17
2.1 Exposure Assumptions.....	17
2.2 Air Monitoring.....	17
<i>1.1.2 Data Quality</i>	18
<i>1.1.3 Data Robustness</i>	18
2.3 Contaminants of Potential Concern	18
2.4 Exposure Concentration	19
3 Toxicity Assessment	20
3.1 Overview	20
3.2 Toxicity Values	21
4 Risk Characterization	22
4.1 Cancer Risk Estimation	22
4.2 NonCancer Hazard Estimation	23
4.3 Cumulative Risks for Multiple Chemicals	24
4.4 Results of Risk Estimation	24
5 Uncertainty Evaluation	38
5.1 Uncertainties in Exposure Assessment	38
5.2 Uncertainties in Toxicity Assessment.....	39
5.3 Uncertainty in Risk Estimation due to Multiple Contaminants	44
5.4 Uncertainty in Risk Characterization.....	44
6 Summary of Findings	45
7 Conclusions	49
8 Knowledge Gaps in Oil and Gas Health Risk Evaluation	49
9 Recommendations	50
10 References	51

LIST OF TABLES	53
Table 1. COPCs (23) with toxicity values evaluated quantitatively	54
Table 2. Aliphatic (45) and Aromatic hydrocarbons (5) evaluated semi-quantitatively using EPA’s fractional approach (surrogate toxicity method).....	55
Table 3. COPCs (17) with no available toxicity values evaluated qualitatively.	56
Table 4. Comparison of the exposure point concentrations (EPCs) for chemicals with toxicity values at the rural Bell and Rulison/Battlement Mesa Monitoring Sites from 2008 to 2012.....	57
Table 5. Comparison of the exposure point concentrations (EPCs) for chemicals with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Monitoring Site from 2008 to 2012	58
Table 6. Comparison of the maximum concentrations for chemicals with toxicity values at the Bell and Rulison Monitoring Sites from 2008 to 2012.....	59
Table 7. Comparison of the maximum concentrations for chemicals with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Monitoring Site from 2008 to 2012.....	60
Table 8. Comparison of the Cumulative Estimated Cancer Risks (CR) and Noncancer Hazard Indices (HIs) Across Monitoring Sites from 2008 to 2012.....	61
Table 9. Percent Contribution of various air toxics to the Cumulative Estimated Cancer Risks (CR) and Noncancer Hazard Indices (HIs) Across the Bell, Parachute, and Rifle Monitoring Sites from 2008 to 2012.	62
Table 10. Comparison of the estimated cancer risks and noncancer hazard quotients (HQs) from 2008 to 2012 at the Bell Monitoring Site.....	63
Table 11. Comparison of the estimated cancer risks and noncancer hazard quotients (HQs) from 2009 to 2012 at the Rulison and Battlement Mesa Monitoring Sites. ..	64
Table 12. Comparison of the Estimated Cancer Risks and Noncancer Hazard Quotients (HQs) from 2008 to 2012 at the Parachute Monitoring Site.	65
Table 13. Comparison of the Estimated Cancer Risks and Noncancer Hazard Quotients (HQs) from 2008 to 2012 at the Rifle Monitoring Site.....	66
Table 14. Summary of Major Changes in the Estimated Chronic Risk Pattern from 2008 to 2012 at the Bell, Parachute and Rifle Monitoring Sites.	67
APPENDIX- A:2008	68
Data Summary for All Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs).....	68
Table A1. 2008 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Brock Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area	69
Table A1.1. 2008 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area.....	70
Table A 2. 2008 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHs) with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Brock Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development.....	71
Table A2. Continued.....	72
2008 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Brock Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	72
Table A2.1. 2008 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	73
Table A2.1. Continued.....	74
2008 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area.....	74

Table A3. 2008 Chemicals with No Toxicity Values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area	75
Table A3.1. 2008 Chemicals with No Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	76
Table A4. 2008 Summary of Exposure Point Concentrations (EPCs) at all Monitoring Sites.....	77
Table A5. 2008 Estimated Potential Lifetime Cancer Risks and Noncancer Hazards (HQ)	78
APPENDIX – B:2009	79
Data Summary for All Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs) and Toxicity Values	79
Table B1. 2009 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area	80
Table B 1.1 2009 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	81
Table B2. 2009 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHs) with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	82
Table B2 Continued	83
2009 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	83
Table B2.1. 2009 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	84
Table B2.1. Continued	85
2009 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	85
Table B3. 2009 Chemicals with no toxicity values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area	86
Table B3.1. 2009 Chemicals with No Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	87
Table B4. 2009 Summary EPCs at all monitoring sites.....	88
Table B5. 2009 Estimated Potential Lifetime Cancer Risks and Noncancer Hazards (HQ)	89
APPENDIX- C: 2010	90
Data Summary for All Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs) and Toxicity Values	90
Table C1. 2010 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area	91
Table C 1.1. 2010 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area.....	92
Table C 2. 2010 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.....	93
Table C2. Continued	94
2010 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	94
Table C 2.1. 2010 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	95

Table C2.1. Continued	96
2010 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	96
Table C3. 2010 Chemicals with no toxicity values at the Bell and Rulison Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area	97
Table C3.1. 2010 Chemicals with No Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	98
Table C4. 2010 Summary of EPCs at all Monitoring Sites.	99
Table C5. 2010 Estimated Potential Lifetime Cancer Risks and Noncancer Hazards (HQ)	100
APPENDIX – D: 2011.....	101
Data Summary for All Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs) and Toxicity Values	101
Table D1. 2011 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Battlement Mesa Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	102
Table D1.1. 2011 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area.....	103
Table D 2. 2011 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Battlement Mesa Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	104
Table D2. Continued.....	105
2011 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Battlement Mesa Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	105
Table D 2.1. 2011 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	106
Table D 2.1. Continued.	107
2011 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	107
Table D3. 2011 Chemicals with no toxicity values at the Bell and Battlement Mesa Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area	108
Table D3.1. 2011 Chemicals with No Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	109
Table D4. 2011 Summary EPCs at all monitoring sites.	110
Table D5. 2011 Estimated Potential Lifetime Cancer Risks and Noncancer Hazards (HQ) at the various rural and urban monitoring sites.	111
APPENDIX- E: 2012.....	112
Data Summary for All Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs).....	112
Table E1. 2012 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Battlement Mesa Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	113
Table E1.1. 2012 Chemicals with Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area.....	114
Table E 2. 2012 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Battlement Mesa Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	115
Table E2. Continued	116
2012 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with Toxicity Values at the Bell and Battlement Mesa Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area.	116

Table E 2.1. 2012 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	117
Table E 2.1. Continued	118
2012 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons with toxicity values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	118
Table E3. 2012 Chemicals with no toxicity values at the Bell and Battlement Mesa Sites in the Rural Oil & Gas Development Area	119
Table E3.1. 2012 Chemicals with No Toxicity Values at the Parachute and Rifle Sites in the Urban Oil & Gas Development Area	120
Table E4. 2012 Summary of EPCs at all monitoring sites.	121
Table E5. 2012 Estimated Potential Lifetime Cancer Risks and Noncancer Hazards (HQ)at the various rural and urban monitoring sites.	122
APPENDIX – F: Acute Risk Evaluation.....	123
Table F1. Selection of COPCs for Acute Risk Evaluation: Comparison of the highest maximum concentration with chronic noncancer toxicity values.....	124
Table F 2. Evaluation of the 2008 noncancer acute risks based on a range of acute hazard quotients (HQs) derived using the average (the 95% UCL of the mean) and maximum detected air concentrations.	125
Table F 3. Evaluation of the 2009 noncancer acute risks based on a range of acute hazard quotients (HQs) derived using the average (the 95% UCL of the mean) and the maximum detected air concentrations.....	126
APPENDIX – G: Chronic Toxicity Values.....	127
Table G1. Cancer and Noncancer Toxicity Values for COPCs: Inhalation Unit Risk (IUR) and Chronic Reference Level (RfC).....	128
Table G1. Continued.....	129
Table G1. Continued.....	130